Misbranding of the product was alleged for the reason that the label thereof bore statements, designs, and devices regarding the article and ingredients and substances contained therein which were false and misleading, and said label was calculated to deceive and mislead the purchaser thereof, in that it would indicate that the article was imported from Italy, whereas, in truth and in fact, it was manufactured in the United States, and in that said article purported to be a foreign product, when it was not so, but was a product of the United States, and said article was further misbranded, in that it was sold under the distinctive name of another article, to wit, vermouth, and said article was not vermouth, but was an imitation thereof. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the statement on the label of the article regarding it, to the effect that it was vermouth, was false and misleading, in that said article was not vermouth, but was an imitation vermouth consisting of a mixture of alcohol, water, and herbs.

On November 14, 1913, the defendant company entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$65.

B. T. GALLOWAY, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

WASHINGTON, D. C., March 30, 1914.

## 2949. Adulteration and misbranding of "liquid smoke." U. S. v. Figaro Chemical Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50 and costs. (F. & D. No. 4692. I. S. No. 15637-d.)

On November 5, 1912, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Figaro Chemical Co., a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Arizona and engaged in business at Dallas, Tex., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act on October 17, 1911, from the State of Texas into the State of Missouri, of a quantity of so-called liquid smoke, which was adulterated and misbranded. The product was labeled: "Figaro Preservar Trade Mark A Liquid Smoke manufactured only by Figaro Chemical Company, Dallas, Texas. Figaro Preservar was patented August 20th, 1907. There can be no substitute. We hereby guarantee our product under the United States Food and Drug Act of June 30th, 1906; Serial No. 3923 \* \* Figaro Chemical Company, Dallas, Texas."

Analysis of a sample of the product by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the following results: The odor, general appearance, precipitated and dissolved tar, acidity, behavior on distillation, neutralization, and oxidation, together with the presence of methyl alcohol and acetone, indicated that this product was pyroligneous acid. Adulteration of the product was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained an added poisonous or deleterious ingredient which might render it injurious to health, to wit, wood alcohol. Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the product was labeled as above set forth, which label and labels were untrue and were false and misleading in that the product was not liquid smoke, but was in fact a solution of crude pyroligneous acid.

On January 17, 1913, the defendant company entered a plea of guilty to the information and the court imposed a fine of \$50 and costs.

B. T. GALLOWAY, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

WASHINGTON, D. C., March 30, 1914.

## 2950. Misbranding of sirup. U. S. v. Colorado Syrup Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$1 and costs. (F. & D. No. 4701. I. S. No. 18173-d.)

On April 30, 1913, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Colorado Syrup Co., a corporation, Denver, Colo., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and